



Spring 1980

15th Street 7th Ave

Brooklyn NY

Our political lives would benefit if voters had a greater awareness of some of the critical economic paradoxes that underpin our society. Our goal shouldn't be perfection, we should strive to do the greatest good through the most pardonable inconveniences. Are we deserving of a President who will have a perfect blend of self-delusion and ego to think that he/she can succeed where others have failed? Throughout history, politics has enabled disingenuousness with the media and the voters. Washington is inundated by legal distractions, investigations, blatant omissions, lack of transparency and poor communication. Despite this, our savviest politicians are aware of the impossibility theorem, a concept in social choice theory according to which when voters have three or more differentiated choices or options, the absence of ranked order voting system can lead to ranked preferences of individuals over a community-wide ranking in addition to meeting a pre-specified set of criteria. This concept affects the political process by treating all conceivable rules under a common framework. This provides the meaningful tool for expressing social welfare.

An economic era of enlightenment is possible since the major difference between mass media and social media is; the mass media puts the audience in a passive position. Social media puts the voters at the center and with work, could do a better job at producing a more informed populace and higher voter turnouts. Through social media, it's possible to implement campaigns that interacts with existing and potential voters.

Instead of getting distracted by outrageous tweets, voters should get acquainted with the list of economic paradoxes. Afterwards, voters should list the top three paradoxes they believe are impacting our country and indicate where to draw the line for each. Reading the latter has made me aware of the danger of tyranny that inevitably results from government control of economic decision-making through central planning. I believe the disparity in the division of wealth should be addressed by allowing a polytheistic approach in the future since the current conservative two party system makes it tempting to equate the opposition as evil. Self imposed biases have hampered many of us from understanding the value and validity of having an open mind. How do we get better at something we don't think we're bad at? We should have the greatest reason to be grateful to those with differing views for saying so well what our biases prevent us from seeing and/or understanding.

Economists believe the line is between free-enterprise and planning, and that the logical extremes are not possible. Most politicians and economists' inability to be forthcoming and transparent isn't a coincidence. One has to be selfless and egoless to give perfect guidance as to where to draw the line since it exposes your preferences and biases. On occasions lines are drawn, voters would probably draw lines in different places. But as soon as you admit that the extreme is not possible, and that a line has to be drawn, you are, on your own argument, done for since you are trying to persuade us that as soon as one moves an inch in the planned direction you are necessarily launched on the slippery path which will lead you in due course over the economic cliff.

This avoidance of drawing the line explains politics as usual and the economic cliché "on the other-hand". In other words, no one wants to talk first since the first rule of negotiation states that if you are the first one to state a number then you have set the anchor and the negotiation will revolve around that number. As the "Greatest Fool", I believe the other two economic paradoxes people should understand are Mandeville's paradox and Mayfield's Paradox.